UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,389 08/28/2003		James DeFrancesco	DLT-001DIV2	7166
51414 GOODWIN PR	7590 07/25/200 ROCTER LLP	EXAMINER		
	IINISTRATOR	NGUYEN, NGA B		
BOSTON, MA	=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3692	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PatentBos@goodwinprocter.com hmcpeake@goodwinprocter.com glenn.williams@goodwinprocter.com

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/650,38	9	DEFRANCESCO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Nga B. Ng	uyen	3692				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even in. eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on (03 April 2008						
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 April 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	·—			osecution as to th	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	doi Ex parto Qu	ay,o, 1000 0. 5 . 11, 10	00 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
•			Objected to by the	Examiner				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
TI) THE CAUTOR GEGIALIOT IS Objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John FTO-192.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/1/08; 6/12/08.	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on April 3, 2008, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3692

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (hereinafter Jones), U.S. Patent No. 5,239,462, in view of Bennett et al (hereinafter Bennett), U.S. Patent No. 6,603487.

Regarding to claim 5, Jones discloses a method for receiving and routing credit application information, comprising the steps of:

receiving a credit application from at least one remote application input location (column 5, lines 20-60, the control station receives loan application request from a borrower at a point-of-sale station);

forwarding said received credit application to one or more funding sources (column 7, lines 3-8, the control station forwards credit application to lender);

receiving a funding decision from said one or more funding sources (*column 7, lines 10-17, lender sends the approve status determination to the control station*);

receiving content from said one or more funding sources (column 7, lines 18-30, notice letter for a particular borrower includes: identify lender, approval status, maximum loan amount available for different payback times, etc...); and

delivering said content to said at least one remote application input location (column 7, lines 30-34, notice letter sends to the potential borrower at the point-of-sale station).

Page 4

Jones does not disclose <u>selectively</u> forwarding said received credit application to a plurality of funding sources and receiving a funding decision and content from said plurality of funding sources. However, Bennett discloses <u>selectively</u> forwarding said received credit application to a plurality of funding sources (*figures 7-8, the credit application is selected to forward to Chase Manhattan and Chrysler*). Although Bennett does not directly discloses receiving a funding decision and content from said plurality of funding sources, but Bennett discloses the credit application is forwarding to a plurality of funding sources, thus it is obvious in Bennett that a plurality of funding sources will send a funding decision and content back to the dealer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jones's to incorporate the features taught by Bennett above, for the purpose of providing the opportunity for selecting a plurality of lenders in order to ensure the loan application is approved by at least on lender.

Regarding to claim 6, Jones further discloses receiving from said one or more funding sources content targeted to select one(s) of said at least one remote application input location; and delivering said targeted content to said select one(s) of said at least one remote application input location (*column 7, lines 10-17, lender sends the approve status determination to the control station*).

Art Unit: 3692

Regarding to claim 7, Jones further discloses wherein content is selected from the group consisting of product information, new and used vehicle RATE PROGRAM pricing and announcement messages (*column 7, lines 18-30, notice letter for a particular borrower includes: identify lender, approval status, maximum loan amount available for different payback times, etc...)*;

Regarding to claim 8, Jones does not disclose means for generating at least one defined group of said plurality of funding sources wherein said content is delivered to said at least one defined group. However, Bennett discloses generating a defined group of said plurality funding source (*figure 7, lenders receives Email, lenders receives fax*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jones's to incorporate the features taught by Bennett above, for the purpose of ensuring the loan application is approved by sending to a group of lenders.

Claims 1-4 are written in computer readable medium that parallel the limitations found in claims 5-8 above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

Claims 9-12 contain similar limitations found in claims 5-8 above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale. Moreover, Jones discloses an apparatus comprising: a network device, a processor configured to process the method and a communications interface (column 5, lines 20-30, the central computer located at the control location).

Conclusion

7. Claims **1-12** are rejected.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00AM-5:00PM.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571) 272-6702.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-6796 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3692

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nga B. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

July 15, 2008